

# Oregon Soergel bimodule workshop

August 2014

## Exercises 4.1

**Essential skills:** Rouquier complexes and their minimal complexes (Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4), Induced forms (Q5, Q6)

1. Let  $F_s$  and  $F_s^{-1}$  denote the Rouquier complexes introduced in lectures. Check that  $F_s F_s^{-1} \cong R$  in  $K^b(R\text{-Bim})$  as sketched in lectures.
2. Compute the terms appearing in the minimal complex of  $F_s^{\otimes m}$  for  $m \geq 0$ . Describe its perverse filtration explicitly.
3. Write down the summands appearing in the minimal complex of  $F_s F_u F_t F_s F_u$ .
4. Suppose that  $m_{st} = 2$ . Find explicitly a chain map from  $F_s F_t$  to  $F_t F_s$  and back. Renormalize your maps such that the composition is the identity chain map.
5. Fix a Soergel bimodule  $B$  and consider the two maps  $\alpha, \beta : B \rightarrow BB_s = B \otimes_R B_s$  given by

$$\alpha(b) := bc_{\text{id}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(b) := bc_s.$$

Together,  $\alpha(B)$  and  $\beta(B)$  span  $BB_s$ . Show that  $\beta$  is a morphism of bimodules, whilst  $\alpha$  is a morphism of left modules. Find a formula for  $\alpha(br)$  for  $b \in B$  and  $r \in R$ .

Suppose that  $B$  is equipped with an invariant form  $\langle -, - \rangle_B$ . Prove that there is a unique invariant form  $\langle -, - \rangle_{BB_s}$  on  $BB_s$ , which we call the *induced form*, satisfying

$$\langle \alpha(b), \alpha(b') \rangle_{BB_s} = \partial_s \langle b, b' \rangle_B \tag{1}$$

$$\langle \alpha(b), \beta(b') \rangle_{BB_s} = \langle b, b' \rangle_B \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \beta(b), \alpha(b') \rangle_{BB_s} = \langle b, b' \rangle_B \tag{2}$$

$$\langle \beta(b), \beta(b') \rangle_{BB_s} = \langle b, b' \rangle_{B\alpha_s} \tag{3}$$

for all  $b, b' \in B$ . Show that the intersection form on a Bott-Samelson bimodule agrees with the form induced many times from the canonical form on  $R$ .

Now consider  $\overline{BB_s}$ , with its induced form valued in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Calculate a matrix for this form in some basis. Prove that the induced form is non-degenerate whenever the original form on  $\overline{B}$  is non-degenerate.

6. In this exercise we prove an “easy” case of hard Lefschetz. Assume that  $B_x$  is a Soergel bimodule such that hard Lefschetz holds on  $\overline{B_x}$ . Consider the operator

$$L_\zeta := (\rho \cdot -) \text{id}_{B_s} + \text{id}_{B_x}(\zeta \rho \cdot -)$$

on  $B_x B_s$ . It induces a Lefschetz operator  $L_\zeta$  on  $\overline{B_x B_s}$ . (You can equip  $B_x$  with an invariant form if you wish, but it won't be important for this exercise.)

- a) Let  $s \in S$  be such that  $xs < s$ . Show that  $B_x B_s = B_x(1) \oplus B_x(-1)$ . (You should be able to give an abstract argument, but in part b) the following fact is useful (see “Singular Soergel bimodules”): there exists an  $(R, R^s)$ -bimodule  $B_{\overline{x}}$  such that  $B_{\overline{x}} \otimes_{R^s} R \cong B_x$ .)
- b) Rewrite the Lefschetz operator  $L_\zeta$  on  $B_x B_s$  using a fixed choice of isomorphism  $B_x B_s = B_x(1) \oplus B_x(-1)$ . Conclude that in the right quotient  $\overline{B_x B_s}$ ,  $L_\zeta$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \cdot - & 0 \\ \zeta \gamma & \rho \cdot - \end{pmatrix}.$$

for some non-zero scalar  $\gamma$ . (As above,  $\rho \cdot -$  denotes the degree two endomorphism of left multiplication by  $\rho$ .) (Hint: Look at the previous exercise.)

- c) Conclude that  $L_\zeta$  satisfies hard Lefschetz on  $\overline{B_x B_s}$  if and only if  $\zeta \neq 0$ .

## Oregon Soergel bimodule workshop

August 2014

### Supplementary Exercises 4.1

*Rouquier complexes:*

7. This exercise is very very computational! (Hint: If you're stuck, look at a paper by Elias-Krasner.) Suppose that  $m_{st} = 3$ . Find the most general chain map (of degree 0) from  $F_s F_t F_s$  to  $F_t F_s F_t$  and vice versa (i.e. you should get families of maps given by certain parameters). Compute their composition, an endomorphism of  $F_s F_t F_s$ . For certain parameters, find a homotopy map between this composition and the identity chain map.

8. This exercise is also very computational.

- a) Compute the minimal complex  $FT$  of  $F_s F_s$ , including the differentials.
- b) A *shift* is a complex of the form  $R(n)\langle k \rangle$ , for some grading shift  $n$  and homological shift  $k$ . Compute all morphisms of complexes from each shift to  $FT$ . There should be only two nonzero maps, up to the action of  $R$  on morphisms.
- c) One of the two maps, which we denote  $\alpha$ , is a quasiisomorphism of complexes of  $R$ -bimodules. Show that  $\alpha$  becomes an isomorphism (i.e. a homotopy equivalence) after tensoring with  $B_s$  on the right. (In this situation, we call  $\alpha$  an *eigenmap*, and  $B_s$  an *eigencomplex*.)
- d) Call the other map  $\beta$ . Let  $\Lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_\beta$  denote the cones of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. Prove that the tensor product  $\Lambda_\alpha \Lambda_\beta$  is nullhomotopic. (This categorifies the relation  $(H_s^2 - v^2)(H_s^2 - v^{-2}) = 0$ , and proves that  $FT$  is *categorically diagonalizable*.)

*The embedding theorem:*

9. Fix  $x \in W$ , and work in the category  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}_{<x}$ . The local intersection form is a form on the space  $\text{Hom}^0(x, BS(\underline{w}))$ , where  $x$  represents the object  $B_x$  in this quotient category. As we have seen,  $\text{Hom}^0(x, BS(\underline{w}))$  has a basis given by (upside-down) light leaves of degree 0.

- a) Prove that the map  $\iota: \text{Hom}^0(x, BS(\underline{w})) \rightarrow \overline{BS(\underline{w})}$  which sends  $\phi \mapsto \overline{\phi(c_{\text{bot}})}$  is injective.
- b) Suppose that  $\overline{BS(\underline{w})}$  is equipped with a Lefschetz operator given by left multiplication by some  $f \in \mathfrak{h}^* \subset R$ . Prove that the image of  $\iota$  consists of primitives in degree  $-\ell(x)$ .

*Positivity and quantum numbers:*

10. In this lecture series, we have been assuming that  $a_{s,t} = -2 \cos \frac{\pi}{m_{st}}$ , or in other words, that  $a_{s,t} = -(q + q^{-1})$  where  $q = e^{\pm \frac{\pi i}{m}}$  is a primitive  $2m$ -th root of unity. In previous exercises, we have seen that one can set  $q$  to be other primitive  $2m$ -th roots of unity and still obtain an action of  $W$ . What positivity considerations will fail if  $q$  is set to one of these other roots of unity? For example, what if  $m = 53$  and  $q = e^{\frac{3\pi i}{53}}$ ? (Hint: Consider Exercise 4 from the Wednesday exercises.)